24 Oct 74

GEN WILSON GUID/NCE RE THE INTELLIGENCE P/NEL OF THE NSCIC WORKING GROUP

He puts great stock in the consumer/user orientation of the WkGp.This should add a dimension  to the activity of the group.

The membership puts parameters on categories of subjects for the WkGp to address. Resource matters should be handled by the IRAC, not the NSCIC, and therefore should not be within the purview of the NSCIC WkGp.

He referred to the DDDO briefing on Electronic Surveillance which the DCI wishes passed to the NSCIC.
On matters such as this he considers the Intelligence Panel should be in a position to report to the NSCIC through the DCI without going thru the WkGp . This means the Panel needs some measure of autonomy. (In a sense this could make it competitive with the USIB)

In the Panel/WkGp/NSCIC relationship, he sees the Panel as the "point of the plow. It will meet more frequently than the W^kGp, which will meet more often than the NSCIC.

He will take up with the Panel all items to be addressed at a following WkGp meeting. This should assuage any Intel Community qualms that the WkGp as a users group, might be "end runningx"the Community

It is his hope that the WkGp will be able to discuss semi-policy level issues and resolve them to the extent that the NSCIC need only sign of f on the results.

What he now needs is a list of type issues suitable for development of papers by the Panel for submission to the Working Group ..

(What we really need from the WkGp is a statement of problems and issues on which intelligence inputs are importantly needed as guidance for the community. How develop these type inputs?)
Gen Wilson hopes that membxexx Mr. Ellsworth will express the concerns which Mr. Clements h; s indicated that he h s with the C0mmunity. Open nd full expression of these could be used as guidance to the 6ommunity.

JET